المجلد الخامس- العدد الثاني– فبراير 2026
Volume 5 (Issue 2) February 2026

مجلة قورينا العلمية QSJ
Qurina Scientific Journal
ISSN: 2959 - 7463

Spatial and Temporal Constraints for Acquisition in FMRI

Hamad A. M. Bouzreda [1], Mohamed M. Mohsen [2], Aisha Al-Saadawi [3]

[1] Dean of College of Medical Technology, Benghazi-Libya, Email:hamad.moustafa2014@gmail.com.
[2] College of Medical Technology, Benghazi-Libya,Email:mohamed.mahmoud@tu.edu.ly.
[3] College of Medical Technology, Benghazi- Libya. Email: Aisha.Saadawi@tu.edu.ly

الملخص:

يُعدّ التصوير بالرنين المغناطيسي الوظيفي (fMRI) تقنية تصوير عصبي غير جراحية تُستخدم لقياس نشاط الدماغ من خلال رصد التغيرات في تدفق الدم ونسبة الأكسجة المرتبطة بالنشاط العصبي. ويُمكّن الباحثين من دراسة وظائف الدماغ أثناء أداء مهام معرفية أو حسية محددة. وتتكوّن البيانات المكتسبة عادةً من سلاسل صور ثلاثية الأبعاد مقسّمة إلى عناصر حجمية تُسمّى «فوكسلات»، يمثّل كلٌّ منها مكعّبًا صغيرًا من نسيج الدماغ.

يمكن لجلسة واحدة من التصوير الوظيفي إنتاج مئات إلى آلاف الصور ثلاثية الأبعاد، حيث يضمّ كل حجم قرابة 100,000 فوكسل. وتعكس شدة كل فوكسل كثافة اللفّ النووي المحلي وترتبط بالتغيرات الديناميكية في تدفق الدم والأكسجة. ونظرًا لتعقيد البنية الدماغية وانخفاض نسبة الإشارة إلى الضوضاء، تظهر تحديات تتعلق بالقيود المكانية والزمانية أثناء جمع البيانات وتفسيرها.

وتهدف هذه الدراسة إلى تقديم مراجعة شاملة لمعاملات اكتساب بياناتfMRI ، مع التركيز على تحديات الدقة المكانية (حجم الفوكسل وتغطية الدماغ) والدقة الزمنية (زمن التكرار وديناميكيات الإشارة). كما أن ضخامة البيانات وارتفاع أبعادها يفرضان تحديات إحصائية وحاسوبية تستلزم تعاونًا بين تخصصات علم الأعصاب والهندسة الطبية الحيوية والفيزياء والإحصاء. وتسلّط المقالة الضوء على التطورات المنهجية الحديثة بهدف توفير إطار متكامل يساعد الباحثين على فهم مبادئ اكتساب بيانات fMRI وتطبيقها بدقة لضمان تفسيرات علمية موثوقة لنشاط الدماغ.

الكلمات المفتاحية: التصوير بالرنين المغناطيسي الوظيفي (fMRI)، قياس نشاط الدماغ، الدقة المكانية في التصوير بالرنين المغناطيسي الوظيفي، تحديات الدقة الزمنية، الحصول على بيانات التصوير بالرنين المغناطيسي الوظيفي وتفسيرها.

Abstract: Functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging (fMRI) is a non-intrusive neuroimaging method that measures brain activity via measurement of changes in blood oxygenation and flow that occur in response to neural activity. This facilitates the ability of researchers to study brain function in response to specific cognitive or sensory tasks. The acquired data typically comprise sequences of three-dimensional MR images, partitioned into three-dimensional elements referred to as voxels, with each voxel representing a small, uniformly-sized cube of brain tissue. A single fMRI session can produce hundreds to thousands of 3D images, each volume encompassing nearly 100,000 discrete voxels. The intensity value of each voxel reflects the local nuclear spin density and is associated with hemodynamic fluctuations, such as variations in blood flow and oxygenation. As a result of the brain's structural intricacy and the relatively low signal-to-noise ratio of fMRI data, the influence of spatial and temporal limitations on data acquisition and interpretation. This study seeks to offer a comprehensive review of the acquisition parameters in fMRI, with a particular focus on the challenges posed by spatial resolution (voxel size, brain coverage) and temporal resolution (repetition time, signal dynamics). The immense data quantity and its high dimensionality present statistical and computational challenges, demanding collaborative efforts across neuroscience fields, biomedical engineering, physics, and statistics. This article also highlights the methodological developments fueled by the rising number of fMRI studies and the escalating demand for robust analytical methodologies. The primary objective is to provide a detailed framework for researchers across diverse disciplines to understand and apply fMRI acquisition principles effectively, ensuring accurate and meaningful interpretations of brain activity.

Keywords: Functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging (fMRI), Brain activity measurement, Spatial resolution in fMRI, Temporal resolution challenges, fMRI data acquisition and interpretation.

INTRODUCTION

In FMRI technology, a standard for MRI is used to form a series of brain sizes and study dynamic changes, which requires focusing on FMRI data, and three-dimensional acquisition. To understand this process, one must review the techniques of collecting stereotypes of the brain (Adolescent risk taking, 2011; Nurse home visitation, 2010; Andersen & Teicher, 2009).

FMRI study data, depending on MR physics and signaling signal, description and accurate discussion for each stage (Anokhin *et al*., 2011; Arsalidou & Taylor, 2011; Barnett *et al*., 2008) and thus get to know the basis of MR scanner and clarify how to use the signal, form images, and discuss issues related to FMRI technology. The MR scanner is a group of devices; the main part is an extremely connected electrical magnet with a fixed magnetic field, usually ranging from 1.5–7.0 Tesla in brain research, and interacts with the Earth's magnetic field and its value is 0.0000005 tesla, which makes it strong enough to withdraw magnetic bodies to its core (Beauchaine *et al*., 2008; Beauregard, 2009; Bechara, 2005)

The second critical component of the scanner is the radio frequency coils, where the coils of devices near the object that is photographed, and its function generating and receiving energy on the resonance frequency in the size that is photographed (Bechara, 2005; Benoit *et al*., 2011). The gradient coils are the third component, which are electromagnetic coils that create a spatial contrast in the magnetic field, and they encode spatial information in the necessary signal to create images. This makes MR signals effective tools to study both the structure and function of the brain and the creation of types of images to confirm the contrast related to the characteristics of tissue, studying directional patterns to spread water—or diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI)—to measure the areas of white matter, and set the flexible characteristics of the brain tissue, and the flow of cerebral fluid, and others (Berkman *et al*., 2011; Berman *et al*., 2011).

The same scanner for MRI, fMRI functional imaging (DTI) from white matter spaces also works with the goal of obtaining several types of images, so that MR images are part of the standard fMRI survey session, as a pre-data process. All MR technologies depend on a basic set of physical rules, and their understanding we start by examining one atomic nucleus and studying its effect on the MR signal, and focusing on hydrogen atoms that consist of one proton, because it is the most common nucleus in MRI because of both its properties and abundance in the human body. Protons are positive particles that revolve around their axis, which leads to a clear magnetic moment along the direction of the rotation axis, which is the source of the signal that we seek to measure. However, because it is impossible to measure one proton magnetization, we measure the net magnetization of the nucleus within a specific volume. This can be represented as a two-part vector: the first is a longitudinal component parallel to the magnetic field, and the second is transverse perpendicular to the field (Bierman *et al*., 2008; Bryant *et al*., 2008).

DISCUSSIONS

This paper aims to provide a comprehensive framework for understanding the principles and challenges of functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI), focusing on acquisition parameters, spatio-temporal resolution, and complexities in signal modeling and data analysis. Although fMRI is a powerful, non-invasive tool for mapping brain activity, interpreting its data requires a deep understanding of basic physics, neurophysiology, and computational statistics. The fundamental challenges in fMRI relate to the balance between spatial resolution (voxel size, brain coverage) and temporal resolution (repetition time TR, signal dynamics) (Chua *et al*., 2011; Dannlowski *et al*., 2012).

Improving one often comes at the expense of the other due to the physical limitations of acquisition time. While high-resolution structural recordings (e.g., T1 images) allow precise anatomical discrimination, studies of function require rapid and repeatable acquisition, which limits the voxel size to about 3 × 3 × 5 mm in conventional studies (Dannlowski *et al*., 2012). With technical advances such as higher magnetic fields (7 Tesla), parallel acquisition techniques, and simultaneous multiple slices, it has become possible to achieve higher spatial (≈2 mm^3) and better temporal (TR < 1 s) resolution (Carter *et al*., 2011; Decharms, 2008). However, these improvements increase the volume and analytical complexity of the data.

The signal measured in fMRI, known as the blood oxygen level dependent (BOLD) response, is an indirect indicator of neural activity, relying on changes in oxy-/deoxyhemoglobin ratios resulting from neuronal metabolism and vascular response (Deco *et al*., 2011; Caria *et al*., 2007). This response is modeled by the hemodynamic response function (HRF), which is delayed and temporally spread relative to the actual neural activity (Beauchaine *et al*., 2008; Falk *et al*., 2012). This delay, combined with differences in the form and timing of HRF between different brain regions and between individuals (Adolescent risk taking, 2011; Dosch *et al*., 2010; Eisenberger *et al*., 2007b), poses a fundamental challenge in analysis. The linear time-invariant (LTI) model is often assumed to describe the relationship between stimulus and BOLD response (Barnett *et al*., 2008), although there is evidence for nonlinearity of this relationship in cases of rapidly successive stimuli (Andersen & Teicher, 2009; Falk *et al*., 2012). To address the variation in HRF, flexible models based on a set of basis functions (time derivatives, gamma functions, principal components) can be used instead of adopting a fixed canonical form (Adolescent risk taking, 2011; Bierman *et al*., 2008; Casey *et al*., 2011; Fenton *et al*., 2009).

Experimental design is crucial to the success of an fMRI study. The design conflicts between block design (more statistical power of detection, less sensitivity to HRF shape) and event-related design (flexibility in estimating HRF parameters, linking to specific cognitive processes) (Berkman *et al*., 2011; Berman *et al*., 2011). The optimal choice depends on the primary goal of the study: identifying areas of activity versus understanding the precise temporal sequence of brain processes. The design must also balance statistical efficiency with randomness to prevent expectation and adaptation effects.

Functional data contain complex noise and artifacts from multiple sources including: head movement (which is partially corrected by realignment but whose effects remain (Cacioppo *et al*., 2003; Crowe & Blair, 2008)), slow signal drift resulting from scanner instability, and periodic physiological fluctuations from heartbeat and respiration (Bechara, 2005; Eckenrode *et al*., 2010; Filiou & Turck, 2011). Since the typical acquisition rate (TR) (≈2 s) is lower than the frequencies of these physiological processes, aliasing occurs leading to temporal autocorrelation in the noise (Cheung, 2009). This noise is often modeled using AR(p) or ARMA(1,1) operations to improve statistical inference (Diamond *et al*., 2007). Preprocessing constitutes a vital step to mitigate these problems and includes: slice time correction, motion realignment, co-registration with anatomical images, and normalization to standard space (e.g., MNI) (Eisenberger *et al*., 2007a). Normalization, especially nonlinear, is a potential source of error in group analyses and requires careful quality checking (Critchley, 2009). Basic statistical analysis is often done using a voxel-by-voxel general linear model (GLM) (Filiou & Turck, 2011). However, the high-dimensional nature of the data (tens of thousands of voxels and thousands of time points) requires strict correction for multiple comparisons, often using Gaussian Random Field Theory. Current developments are moving towards functional and structural network analyses, understanding resting-state functional states (Bierman *et al*., 2008; Decharms, 2008), and integrating fMRI data with other methods such as electroencephalography (EEG) or transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS) within the framework of multimodal analysis.

Open initiatives and data sharing, such as the Human Connectome Project and the Open fMRI and NeuroVault repositories, facilitate progress in this field (Bierman et al., 2008; Eisenberger *et al*., 2007a). Free analysis software such as SPM, FSL, and AFNI provide standard tools for researchers (Benoit *et al*., 2011; Bryant *et al*., 2008; Development of cognitive control, 2006). It is believed that the biggest challenge in the near future is to transform the huge amount of data into a true understanding of brain function. This requires interdisciplinary collaboration between neuroscientists, engineers, physicists, statisticians, and machine learning experts (Beauchaine *et al*., 2008; Davidson, 2004).

Through this study we expect the development of rapid, high-resolution acquisition techniques, precise physiological modeling, and complex network analysis to continue to push the boundaries of what can be detected and inferred using fMRI, contributing to a deeper understanding of the healthy and diseased brain.

CONCLUSIONS

In neuroscience, an understanding of the human brain has become among the most complex, important and difficult issues, and more neural substrates are needed to understand the huge amounts of data created. With this rapid development, new research questions open every day. The exciting fields of research that we feel will be of increasing importance in the coming years are renewed every day with the progress of scientific research. However, there is no doubt that there is a need to continue studying in this field. For the use and creation of imaging databases, these endeavors were implemented both at the base level (e.g., the CONNECTONES project 1000) and institutional level (e.g., the Human Connectome project), and databases that consist of more than 1000 subjects are increasingly available. Consequently, multimodal analysis allows opportunities and creates restrictions for all methods used in human neuroscience, and fMRI is of course no exception. Therefore, the current trend is towards multidisciplinary methods that increasingly use multiple methodologies to overcome some of the restrictions of each method used in isolation.

REFERENCES

1.Adolescent risk taking by enhancing activity i n the brain's reward circuitry. (2011). Develop mental Science, 14 (2), F1-F10.

2. And infancy nurse home visitation on the lif e course of youths: 19-year follow-up of a rand omized trial. (2010). Archives of Pediatric and Adolescence Medicine, 164 (1), 9-15.

3. Andersen, S. L., & Teicher, M. H. (2009). D esperately driven and no brakes: Developmenta l stress exposure and subsequent risk for substa nce abuse. Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Re views, 33 (4), 516-524.

4. Anokhin, A. P., Golosheykin, S., Grant, J. D ., & Heath, A. C. (2011). Heritability of delay d iscounting in adolescence: A longitudinal twin study. Behavior Genetics, 41 (2), 175-183.

5. Arsalidou, M., & Taylor, M. J. (2011). Is 2+ 2=4? Meta-analyses of brain areas needed for n umbers and calculations. Neuroimage, 54 (3), 2 382-2393.

6. Barnett, W. S., Jung, K., Yarosz, D. J., Tho mas, J., Hornbeck, A., Stechuk, R., & Burns, S. (2008). Educational effects of the Tools of the Mind curriculum: A randomized trial. Early Ch ildhood Research Quarterly, 23, 299-313.

7. Beauchaine, T. P., Neuhaus, E., Brenner, S. L., & Gatzke-Kopp, L. (2008). Ten good reaso ns to consider biological processes in preventio n and intervention research. *Development and P sychopathology*, 20 (3), 745-774.

8. Beauregard, M. (2009). Effect of mind on br ain activity: Evidence from neuroimaging. *Nor dic Journal of Psychiatry*, 63 (1), 5-16.

9. Bechara, A. (2005). Decision making, impulse control and loss of willpower to resist drugs: A neurocognitive perspective. *Nature Neuroscience*, 8 (11), 1458-1463.

11. Benoit, R. G., Gilbert, S. J., & Burgess, I. W. (2011). A neural mechanism mediating the impact of episodic prospection on farsighted decisions. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 31 (18), 6771-6779.

12. Berkman, F. T., Falk, F. B., & Lieberman, M. D. (2011). In the trenches of real-world self-control: Neural correlates of breaking the link between craving and smoking. *Psychological Science*, 22 (4), 498-506.

13. Berman, B. D., Horovitz, S. G., Venkataraman, G., & Hallett, M. (2011). Self-modulation of primary motor cortex activity with motor and motor imagery tasks using real-time fMRI-based neurofeedback. Neuroimage, 59 (2), 917-925.

16. Bierman, K. L., Nix, R. L., Greenberg, M. T., Blair, C., & Domitrovich, C. E. (2008). Executive functions and school readiness intervention: Impact, moderation, and mediation in the Head Start REDI program. *Development and Psychopathology*, 20 (3), 821-843.

24. Bryant, R. A., Felmingham, K., Kemp, A., Das, P., Hughes, G., Peduto, A., & Williams, L. (2008). Amygdala and ventral anterior cingulate activation predicts treatment response to cognitive behaviour therapy for post-traumatic stress disorder. *Psychological Medicine*, 38 (4), 555–561. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291707002231.

25. Cacioppo, J. T., Berntson, G. G., Lorig, T. S., Norris, C. J., Rickett, E., & Nusbaum, H. (2003). Just because you're imaging the brain doesn't mean you can stop using your head: A primer and set of first principles. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 85 (4), 650-661.

28. Caria, A., Veit, R., Sitaram, R., Lotze, M., Weiskopf, N., Grodd, W., & Birbaumer, N. (2007). Regulation of anterior insular cortex activity using real-time fMRI. *Neuroimage*, 35 (3), 1238-1246.

29. Carter, C. S., Barch, D. M., Bullmore, E., Breiling, J., Buchanan, R. W., Butler, P., ... Wykes, T. (2011). Cognitive Neuroscience Treatment Research to Improve Cognition in Schizophrenia II: Developing imaging biomarkers to enhance treatment development for schizophrenia and related disorders. *Biological Psychiatry*, 70 (1), 7-12.

30. Casey, B. J., Ruberry, E. J., Libby, V., Glatt, C. E., Hare, T., Soliman, F., Tottenham, N. (2011). Transitional and translational studies of risk for anxiety. Depression and Anxiety, 28 (1), 18-28.

34. Cheung, E. H. (2009). A new ethics of psychiatry: Neuroethics, neuroscience, and technology. *Journal of Psychiatric Practice*, 15 (5), 391-401.

35. Chua, H. F., Ho, S. S., Jasinska, A. J., Polk, T. A., Welsh, R. C., Liberzon, I., & Strecher, V . J. (2011). Self-related neural response to tailo red smoking-cessation messages predicts quitti ng. Nature Neuroscience, 14 (4), 426-427.

39. Critchley, H. D. (2009). Psychophysiology of neural, cognitive and affective integration: f MRI and autonomic indicants. *International Jo urnal of Psychophysiology*, 73 (2), 88-94.

40. Crowe, S. L., & Blair, R. J. (2008). The dev elopment of antisocial behavior: What can we l earn from functional neuroimaging studies? De velopment and Psychopathology, 20 (4), 1145-1159.

42. Dannlowski, U., Stuhrmann, A., Beutelman n, V., Zwanzger, P., Lenzen, T., Grotegerd, D., Kugel, H. (2012). Limbic scars: Long-term con sequences of childhood maltreatment revealed by functional and structural magnetic resonanc e imaging. Biological Psychiatry, 71 (4), 286-2 93.

44. Davidson, R. J. (2004). Well-being and affe ctive style: Neural substrates and biobehaviour al correlates. *Philosophical Transactions of th e Royal Society of London, Series B, Biologica l Sciences, 359 (1449), 1395-1411.

48. Decharms, R. C. (2008). Applications of re al-time fMRI. Nature Reviews Neuroscience, 9 (9), 720-729.

50. Deco, G., Jirsa, V. K., & McIntosh, A. R. (2011). Emerging concepts for the dynamical or ganization of resting-state activity in the brain. Nature Reviews Neuroscience, 12 (1), 43-56.

51. Development of cognitive control and exec utive functions from 4 to 13 years: Evidence fr om manipulations of memory, inhibition, and t ask switching. (2006). Neuropsychologia, 44 (1 1), 2037-2078.

55. Diamond, A., Barnett, W. S., Thomas, J., & Munro, S. (2007). Preschool program improves cognitive control. Science, 318 (5855), 1387-1 388.

59. Dosch, M., Loenneker, T., Bucher, K., Mar tin, E., & Klaver, P. (2010). Learning to apprec iate others: Neural development of cognitive pe rspective taking. Neuroimage, 50 (2), 837-846.

61. Eckenrode, J., Campa, M., Luckey, D. W., Henderson, C. R. Jr., Cole, R., Kitzman, H., Ol ds, D. (2010). Long-term effects of prenatal an d infancy nurse home visitation on the life cour se of youths: 19-year follow-up of a randomize d trial. Archives of Pediatrics & Adolescent Me dicine, 164 (1), 9-15.

63. Eisenberger, N. I., Taylor, S. E., Gable, S. L ., Hilmert, C. J., & Lieberman, M. D. (2007a). Neural pathways link social support to attenuat ed neuroendocrine stress responses. Neuroimag e, 35 (4), 1601-1612.

64. Eisenberger, N. I., Way, B. M., Taylor, S. E ., Welch, W. T., & Lieberman, M. D. (2007b). Understanding genetic risk for aggression: Clu

es from the brain's response to social exclusion . Biological Psychiatry, 61 (9), 1100-1108.

66. Falk, E. B., Berkman, E. T., & Lieberman, M. D. (2012). From neural responses to popula tion behavior: Neural focus group predicts pop ulation-level media effects. Psychological Scie nce, 23 (5), 439-445.

68. Fenton, A., Meynell, L., & Baylis, F. (2009). Ethical challenges and interpretive difficultie s with non-clinical applications of pediatric FM RI. *American Journal of Bioethics*, 9 (1), 3-13.

69. Filiou, M. D., & Turck, C. W. (2011). Gene ral overview: Biomarkers in neuroscience resea rch. International Review of Neurobiology, 101 , 1-17.